

(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 087 724 A

(21) Application No 8129003
(22) Date of filing 25 Sep 1981
(30) Priority data
(31) 55/133062
(32) 26 Sep 1980
(33) Japan (JP)
(43) Application published
3 Jun 1982
(51) INT CL²
A01N 31/02 37/02 37/04
37/06 59/00 59/02 59/26
A23B 4/00
(52) Domestic classification
A5E 102 105 107 117 247
248 274 275 501 506 510
512 B
A2D 2L 3B1 3B2A 3B2X
3B3X 3B4X
(56) Documents cited
GB 2049428 A
GB 2028100 A
GB 2000012 A
GB 1470005
GB 535297
GB 443970
GB 385323
GB 302447
(58) Field of search
A5E
(71) Applicants
Kabushiki Kaisha Ueno
Seiyaku Oyo Kenkyujo,
2-31, Koraibashi,
Higashi-ku, Osaka, Japan
(72) Inventors
Ryozo Ueno
Tatsuo Kanayama
Yatsuka Fujita
Munemitsu Yamamoto
(74) Agents
J. A. Kemp & Co.,
14 South Square, Gray's
Inn,
London, WC1R 5EU

(54) Bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils

(57) Previous techniques of sterilising foods and food processing machines or utensils suffer from a variety of disadvantages, such as causing a deterioration in the flavor or quality of the food. Such problems are now overcome by use of a bactericide comprising as active ingredients ethyl alcohol, and an organic acid or its salt and/or an inorganic acid or its salt. A food or a food processing machine or utensil can be sterilised by dissolving this bactericide in water, and contacting the resulting aqueous solution with the food or the food processing machine or utensil.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

GB 2 087 724 A

SPECIFICATION

Bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils

5 This invention relates to an effective and safe liquid bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils, said bactericide comprising a combination of (1) ethyl alcohol and (2) an organic acid or its salt and/or an inorganic acid or its salt, and a method for killing noxious bacteria which adhere to foods and food processing machines or utensils to cause food poisoning or spoilage.

Nowadays, a variety of foods are processed in great quantities at fixed places and transported from there to places of consumption. Accordingly, a long period of time elapses during transportation of the processed foods from the manufacturers to the consumers, and also until the consumers cook or eat them. During this time, various problems tend to arise. The greatest problem is the occurrence of food poisoning and spoilage owing to infecting microorganisms, and a great deal of efforts have been made to prevent it.

25 Food poisoning and spoilage are caused mainly by bacterial infection of raw materials, and bacterial infection during processing and distribution. In this regard, it is generally thought that seafood pastes, and hams and sausages have a high degree of safety because they undergo heat-treatment during processing. These foods, however, are susceptible to secondary contamination during a time period between the heat-treatment and packaging. In order to prevent food poisoning and spoilage of these foods, it is necessary to prevent secondary contamination.

Salads, Chinese foods, hambers, meat balls, etc. are among those processed foods which have recently shown a great demand in the Japanese market, and the demand for salads containing raw vegetables is especially high. It is known however that raw vegetables used for salads, such as cucumber, tomato, cabbage, Chinese cabbage, onion and celery, are frequently contaminated strongly by food-poisoning bacteria and spoiling bacteria. Presently, the noxious bacteria infecting vegetables is controlled by the blanching method. This method, however, has the defect that because it involves immersing the vegetables in a liquid kept at a high temperature, the tissues of the vegetables will be destroyed by heat, and their flavor decreases markedly. Investigations have been undertaken, on the other hand, to remove the contaminating bacteria by an immersion or spraying technique using sodium hypochlorite, acetic acid, etc. However, since the chemicals must be used in high concentrations, they are likely to cause offensive odours, and adversely affect the flavor of foods and the health of the consumers.

Contamination of the human body (e.g., working personnel and cooks in food processing factories), seafoods, chickens (especially bollers), and chicken eggs by food-processing bacteria also poses a problem. For removal of these bacteria, it is the general practice to treat them with an aqueous solution of sodium hypochlorite in a concentration of less than

200 ppm (as available Cl₂) but effect of this treatment is not sufficient. If the sodium hypochlorite is used in a concentration of 200 ppm or more, its odor remains in the chicken flesh, for example, and its flavor is drastically impaired.

Hydrogen peroxide has high bactericidal activity with little deleterious effects on foods when used in effective concentrations. However, since its carcinogenicity was discovered, it cannot be used in food treatment. It is well known on the other hand that ethyl alcohol has been used widely as a medical disinfectant because of its high safety and strong antimicrobial activity. In some food processing plants, investigations are being made to utilize the bactericidal activity of ethyl alcohol, and to kill food-poisoning and spoiling bacteria of foods and increase their preserving effect by directly spraying ethyl alcohol to the foods or directly dipping them in ethyl alcohol.

85 In order to obtain a sufficient effect from ethyl alcohol alone, the concentration of ethyl alcohol should be at least 70%. Such a high ethyl alcohol concentration results in a strong smell of ethyl alcohol and markedly impairs the flavor of foods.

90 Or it deteriorates proteins to reduce the quality of foods and their discoloration. Inorganic acids such as phosphoric acid have a strong sterilizing effect, but for a sufficient effect, they have to be used in a concentration of more than 30%. At effective concentrations, the irritation and sour taste inherent to phosphoric acid remain in foods to reduce the palatability of the foods. Organic acids such as lactic acid or acetic acid also exhibit a sterilizing effect in high concentrations. In this case, too, their inherent irritating odors and sour tastes greatly impair the flavour of foods. The high-concentration ethyl alcohol, inorganic acids and organic acids are unsuitable as bactericides for foods processing machines because they also adversely affect the working environment by their inherent irritating odors.

In the circumstances, therefore, no effective means has been established yet for the removal and killing of noxious microorganisms adhering to foods, food processing machines or utensils etc., despite its utmost importance in food sanitation and food processing.

It is an object of this invention therefore to provide a bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils, which does not deteriorate the flavor, and quality of foods nor destroy the food processing environment, and has very low toxicity and high safety.

The present inventors have now found that an excellent synergistic bactericidal effect can be obtained by using a mixture of ethyl alcohol and at least one of organic acids, inorganic acids and salts of these, and contaminating bacteria can be killed at much lower concentrations than in the case of using the components of the mixture individually.

Thus, according to this invention, there is provided a liquid bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils, comprising as active ingredients (1) ethyl alcohol and (2) an organic acid or its salt and/or an inorganic acid or its salt.

Examples of the organic acids used in this invention and their salts include lactic acid, acetic acid, tartaric acid, gluconic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, succinic acid, fumaric acid, and phytic

5 acid and salts of these. Examples of the inorganic acids and their salts, on the other hand, include phosphoric acid, condensed phosphoric acids (e.g., acidic pyrophosphoric acid, hexametaphosphoric acid, ultraphosphoric acid, etc.), nitric acid, sulfuric acid, and hydrochloric acid, and their salts.

Generally, the bactericide of this invention preferably consists of 99.9 to 2.0% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.1 to 98.0% (W/V) of at least one acid or acid salt, although it varies depending upon the types of 15 the acids and salts used. In addition to these active ingredients, the bactericide of the invention may contain small amounts of water and a polyhydric alcohol such as propylene glycol and glycerol. When the acid or its salt is not easily soluble in ethyl 20 alcohol, the addition of a small amount of water is preferred in order to obtain a uniform liquid bactericide.

The bactericide of this invention is usually used as 25 a solution in water. Despite the fact that the bactericide of the invention contains the active ingredients in very low concentrations, it shows better bactericidal effects than the individual ingredients used separately. This synergistic effect can be seen from Experimental Examples and Examples given hereinbelow. For example, the concentrations of ethyl 30 alcohol and the acid or its salt required for performing sterilization within 30 seconds using an aqueous solution can be decreased to 0.5 to 35% (W/V), and 0.005 to 20% (W/V), respectively.

35 The pH of the aqueous solution of the bactericide of the invention is preferably not more than 4.0.

When the bactericide of the invention consists of ethyl alcohol and at least one organic acid or salt, it 40 preferably contains 99.4 to 20% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.6 to 80% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt. Usually, this bactericide is used in the form of an aqueous solution in which the concentration of ethyl 45 alcohol is 35 to 5%, preferably 10 to 5%, (W/V) and the concentration of the organic acid or its salt is 0.5% to 0.5%, preferably 10 to 1% (W/V).

When the bactericidal agent of this invention consists of ethyl alcohol and at least one inorganic acid or salt, it preferably contains 99.9 to 20% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.1 to 80% (W/V) of the inorganic 50 acid or its salt. Usually, this bactericide is used in the form of an aqueous solution in which the concentration of ethyl alcohol is 35 to 5%, preferably 10 to 5% (W/V) and the concentration of the inorganic acid or its salt is 0.005 to 20%, preferably 0.005 to 10%, 55 (W/V).

When the bactericide of the invention consists of ethyl alcohol, at least one organic acid or salt and at least one inorganic acid or salt, the bactericide preferably contains 98.0% to 2.3% (W/V), 96.7 to 1.0% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt, and 96.7 to 1.0% (W/V) of the inorganic acid or its salt. Usually, this bactericide is used in the form of an aqueous solution in which the concentration of ethyl alcohol is 18.6 to 1%, preferably 14.59 1%, (W/V), the concentration of the organic acid or its salt is 31 to 0.3%, 65

preferably 13.0 to 0.3%, (W/V), and the concentration of the inorganic acid or its salt is 10 to 0.03%, preferably 0.7 to 0.03%, (W/V).

The proportions and the effective concentrations

70 of these components in these bactericides mentioned above are only examples which can effect sterilization within 30 seconds. They can be properly changed depending upon the type of foods to be sterilized, the contact time, the contacting method, 75 etc.

For sterilization, an aqueous solution of the bactericide of the invention is contacted with a food or food processing machine or utensil.

Examples of foods which can be sterilized suitably

80 by the method of this invention includes seafood and meat products (such as fish pastes, sausage, ham, and bacon), vegetables, especially those eaten raw (such as cucumber, tomato, cabbage, onion, lettuce and celery), various types of noodles, spaghetti, 85 macaroni, seafoods, meat, chicken, chicken eggs, and semi-dried or dried products of seafoods and meats.

Examples of the food processing machines and utensils include cooking plates, cooking knives, food

90 containers, cleaning cloths, and various devices used in food processing plants such as agitators, mixers, homogenizers, automatic cutters, conveying containers and packing containers.

Contacting of foods or food processing machines 95 and utensils with the bactericide can be effected, for example, by dipping, spraying, wiping, etc.

Since the bactericide of the invention has high bactericidal activity at low concentrations, sterilization can generally be achieved by effecting the contacting for less than 30 seconds. Longer contacting does not appreciably reduce the flavor and quality of foods, nor give rise any safety problem. Noxious bacteria adhering to the working personnel and cooks can be killed when they dip their hands in an aqueous solution of the bactericide of the invention, or wipe their hands with an absorbent cotton or gauze impregnated with the bactericide solution.

The use of the bactericide of the invention in this manner prevents food poisoning and increases the 100 preservability of processed foods by inhibiting their spoilage for a prolonged period of time.

The following Experimental Examples and Examples illustrate the present invention more specifically.

110 105 In Experimental Examples 1 to 3, effective combinations of bactericidal components were determined in vitro using *Escherichia coli* (NIHJ-JC-2) which is a food-poisoning bacterium regarded as the most important contamination-indicated bacterium in food sanitation.

In Examples 1 to 7, bactericidal compositions prepared on the basis of the results of Experimental Examples 1 to 3 were used for foods and food materials to determine their bactericidal and bacteria-

115 120 removing effects.

In Examples 1 to 7, bactericidal compositions prepared on the basis of the results of Experimental Examples 1 to 3 were used for foods and food materials to determine their bactericidal and bacteria-

125 removing effects.

All percentages in these examples are by (W/V)%.

Experimental Example 1

(A) The following experiment was conducted in order to examine the bactericidal effect of a mixture

130 of ethyl alcohol and an inorganic acid substance.

Escherichia coli (NIH-JC-2) was inoculated in a brain heart infusion broth (BHI), and cultivated at 37°C for 24 hours. The culture broth was diluted to 1/10 with sterilized physiological saline. The resulting *Escherichia coli* suspension was used as a sample. Phosphoric acid, acidic sodium pyrophosphate, sodium hexametaphosphate, sodium ultraphosphate and nitric acid were used as inorganic acid substances.

10 One milliliter of the sample bacterial suspension was added to 9 ml of a test chemical solution prepared by adding physiological saline to ethyl alcohol and each of the inorganic acid substances so that the concentration of these compounds reached 10/9 of

15 the concentrations indicated in Table 1. They were immediately mixed, and maintained at 20°C. After a contact time of 30 seconds, one platinumloopfull of the mixture was inoculated in a fresh BHI broth, and

cultivated at 37°C for 48 hours. Growth of the bacterium in the culture broth was observed with the naked eyes. When no growth of the bacterium was noted, the result was evaluated as (-) which means that complete sterilization was possible, and when growth of the bacterium was noted, the result was evaluated as (+) which means that sterilization was impossible. The concentrations of the chemicals which were required for complete sterilization were measured. The results are shown in Table 1.

(B). The bactericidal effect of a combination of ethyl alcohol and an organic acid was examined in the same way as in (A) above. The organic acids tested were lactic acid, acetic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, gluconic acid, malic acid, ascorbic acid and phytic acid. The results obtained with a contact time of 30 seconds are shown in Table 2.

Table 1

Table 2

The results given in Table 1 demonstrate that a marked synergistic effect was noted in a combination of ethyl alcohol with phosphoric acid. It is also seen from Table 2 that a combination of ethyl alcohol with lactic acid or acetic acid produces an excellent synergistic effect.

(C) Because a strong effect was noted in the combination of ethyl alcohol with phosphoric acid or lactic acid, a combination of ethyl alcohol, phosphoric acid and lactic acid was examined for bactericidal activity in the same way as in (A) above. The results obtained after a contact time of 30 seconds are shown in Table 3.

It is seen from Table 3 that the effect of the combi-

nation of these three chemicals was much stronger than that expected from the combination of ethyl alcohol and lactic acid or phosphoric acid.

The experimental results thus obtained show that when ethyl alcohol or the acids were individually used for sterilization, the various adverse effects mentioned hereinabove could not be avoided, whereas the combined use of ethyl alcohol and an organic acid and/or an inorganic acid, particularly the combined use of ethyl alcohol, lactic acid and phosphoric acid, greatly reduced the required concentrations of the individual chemicals and made possible effective sterilization without giving rise to problems of a sour taste, odor, degeneration, etc.

Table 3

Phosphoric acid (%)	Lactic acid (%)	Concentration of ethyl alcohol (%)											
		40	35	30	25	20	15	10	5	3	1	0.5	0
0	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.1	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.5	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
	0	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1.0	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

Experimental Example 2

Since lactic acid and phosphoric acid are acidic substances, the pH of the bactericidal solution which is decreased as a result of using these acids presumably contributes also to the bactericidal activity of the solution. To confirm this, the following experiment was performed.

A mixture of ethyl alcohol, phosphoric acid and lactic acid was prepared. The concentrations of ethyl alcohol and phosphoric acid were fixed at 10%

and 0.1%, respectively, whereas the concentration of lactic acid was varied within the range of 3 to 20% as shown in Table 4. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 5.1 with 1N-NaOH or HCl at the time of application. The bactericidal effect of the mixture was examined in the same way as in Experimental Example 1, (A). The time of contact between the sample bacterial suspension and the sample bactericidal mixture was varied between 30 seconds and 10 minutes. The results are shown in Table 4. These

results show that a pH of 4.0 or below is desirable.

Accordingly, organic acids and inorganic acids other than lactic acid and phosphoric can fully exhibit a bactericidal effect by using them together with ethyl alcohol. For example, a combination of

5 ethyl alcohol, lactic acid and another organic acid or

salt, a combination of ethyl alcohol and, phosphoric acid and another inorganic acid or salt, or a combination of ethyl alcohol, another organic acid and 10 another inorganic acid is effective when a solution of such a combination is adjusted to not more than 4.0.

Table 4

<i>pH of the solution during contact</i>	<i>Lactic acid (%)</i>	<i>Contacting time</i>			
		30 seconds	1 minute	5 minutes	10 minutes
1 and 2	3	-	-	-	-
	5	-	-	-	-
	10	-	-	-	-
	15	-	-	-	-
	20	-	-	-	-
3	3	-	-	-	-
	5	-	-	-	-
	10	-	-	-	-
	15	-	-	-	-
	20	-	-	-	-
4	3	+	+	+	-
	5	+	+	-	-
	10	+	-	-	-
	15	-	-	-	-
	20	-	-	-	-
5	3	+	+	+	+
	5	+	+	+	+
	10	+	+	+	+
	15	+	+	+	-
	20	+	+	+	-

(Note): Ethyl alcohol 10%; phosphoric acid 0.1%.

Experimental Example 3

Three mixtures having the following compositions were prepared on the basis of the results of Experimental Examples 1 and 2, and examined for bactericidal effect in the same way as in Example 1, (a). The results are shown in Table 5.

Mixture A

20	Ethyl alcohol	87.0 %
	Lactic acid	8.7 %
	Phosphoric acid	4.3 %

Total 100 %

Mixture B

25	Ethyl alcohol	61.7 %
	Lactic acid	37.0 %
	Phosphoric acid	1.3 %

Total 100 %

Mixture C

30	Ethyl alcohol	37.0 %
	Lactic acid	61.7 %
	Phosphoric acid	1.3 %

Total 100 %

Table 5

Chemicals	Concen- tra- tion (%)	Concentrations of the ingredients in the aqueous solution (%)			Contacting time			
		Ethyl alcohol	Lactic acid	Phosphoric acid	30 seconds	1 minute	5 minutes	10 minutes
Mixture A	10	8.70	0.87	0.43	-	-	-	-
	7	6.09	0.609	0.301	-	-	-	-
	5	4.35	0.435	0.215	+	+	+	-
	3	2.61	0.261	0.129	+	+	+	-
	1	0.87	0.087	0.043	+	+	+	+
Mixture B	10	6.17	3.70	0.13	-	-	-	-
	7	4.32	2.59	0.091	-	-	-	-
	5	3.09	1.85	0.065	-	-	-	-
	3	1.85	1.11	0.039	+	+	+	-
	1	0.617	0.370	0.013	+	+	+	+
Mixture C	10	3.70	6.17	0.13	-	-	-	-
	7	2.59	4.319	0.091	-	-	-	-
	5	1.85	3.085	0.065	-	-	-	-
	3	1.11	1.851	0.039	+	+	+	-
	1	0.370	0.617	0.013	+	+	+	+
Lactic acid	20				+	+	+	+
Phosphoric acid	20				+	+	+	+
Ethyl alcohol	40				-	-	-	-
	35				+	+	+	+
	30				+	+	+	+
	20				+	+	+	+
Not added	0				+	+	+	+

As shown in Table 5, the bactericidal effect was strongest with the mixture C and least strong with the mixture A, and the mixture B comes in between. Each of the mixtures showed a bactericidal effect when the concentrations of ethyl alcohol, lactic acid and phosphoric acid were much smaller than the effective concentrations of these components used individually. Thus, a marked synergistic effect was noted.

10 Example 1

The bactericidal effects of each of the chemicals shown in Table 6 on bacteria adhering to "crab leg-like fish-cake (kamaboko-like product)" whose infection by coliform bacteria is especially notable were examined.

Refrigerated Alaska pollack	1 kg
Salt	30 g
L-glutamic acid	100 g
Crab flavor	5 g
Potato starch	50 g
Ice water	300 g
Total	1485 g

25 A minced flesh of the above composition was molded into a block having a weight of about 1 kg and attached to a plate. The product was held at 40°C for 1 hour, and its surface was colored with natural red dye. The product was steamed at 90°C for 1 hour and cooled.
 30 The plate was removed from the resulting product, and dipped for 10 seconds in a suspension of *Escherichia coli* (NIH-JC-2) to cause the bacteria to adhere fully. The contaminated block was then dipped for 30 seconds in a water solution of each of the mixtures A, B and C in the concentrations shown in Table 6. Immediately then, it was withdrawn. The standard plate count was measured by a conventional plate dilution method using a standard agar culture medium. The number of coliform organisms was measured by the plate dilution method using a desoxycholate agar culture medium. For comparison, the number of bacteria was measured in the same way immediately after dipping of the block in 40 the bacterial suspension, or after further dipping it in hydrogen peroxide or ethyl alcohol solution. The results are summarized in Table 6.

The results show that the bactericidal agent of the

invention can perform complete sterilization at an extremely low concentration which was 1/10 to 1/14 of the effective concentration of ethyl alcohol alone. The concentration of the required concentration of 5 ethyl alcohol in the mixtures was much lower, and is about 1/11 to 1/38 of that required when ethyl alcohol is used alone. The same can be said with

regard to the other components. This means that the combination of chemicals in accordance with this 10 invention produces a marked synergistic effect, and therefore, can simultaneously solve the conventional problems of the quality of foods, the working environment, safety, etc.

Table 6 (fish-cake product)

<i>Chemicals</i>	<i>Concen- trations of the chemicals (%)</i>	<i>Concentrations (%)</i>			<i>After dipping treatment</i>	
		<i>Ethyl alcohol</i>	<i>Lactic acid</i>	<i>Phos- phoric acid</i>	<i>Standard plate count (cells/g)</i>	<i>Number of coliform organisms (cells/g)</i>
Non-treated (immediately after attachment of bacteria)					9.9×10^4	1.3×10^3
Distilled water					3.0×10^3	2.1×10^2
Hydrogen peroxide	0.05				2.1×10^3	1.1×10^2
Mixture A	10 7 5 3 1	8.70 6.09 4.35 2.61 0.87	0.87 0.609 0.435 0.261 0.087	0.43 0.301 0.215 0.129 0.043	0 0 9.2×10^2 2.5×10^3 2.7×10^3	0 0 6.3×10 1.80×10^2 1.90×10^2
Mixture B	10 7 5 3 1	6.17 4.32 3.085 1.851 0.617	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 8.1×10^2 2.6×10^3	0 0 0 5.2×10 2.11×10^2
Mixture C	10 7 5 3 1	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 2.5×10^2 2.7×10^2	0 0 0 6.1×10 1.75×10^2
Ethyl alcohol	70 60				0 2.5×10^3	0 1.5×10^2

In order to examine the effects of the bactericidal 15 agent of the invention on the flavor of foods, a paste product produced as above was removed from the plate, and immediately then, dipped for 30 seconds in an aqueous solution of each of various chemicals. It was then subjected to an organoleptic test by a 20 panel of ten persons for an unusual taste or an unusual odor. The results are shown in Table 7.

It is seen from Table 7 that the bactericides of the invention show no effect on the flavor of foods when their concentrations were not more than 30%. Since 25 the concentration of 30% is much higher than the effective concentrations shown in Table 6, it is clear that the bactericides of the invention can be used without any deleterious effect on the flavour of foods.

Table 7
(fish-cake product)

<i>Chemicals</i>	<i>Concen- trations of the chemicals (%)</i>	<i>Number of the panelists who felt an unusual taste or an unusual odor out of 10</i>
Distilled water	—	0
Hydrogen peroxide	0.05	0
Mixture A	40	4
	30	0
Mixture B	40	5
	30	0
Mixture C	40	8
	30	0
Ethyl alcohol	70	10
	60	8

Example 2

In this Example, the bactericidal effects of each of the chemicals shown in Table 8 and 9 on onion (about 100 g) and cucumber (about 100 g) whose

5 infection by noxious bacteria was heaviest among edible vegetables were examined in the same way as in Example 1. The results are shown in Tables 8 and 9.

The cucumber was subjected to an organoleptic 10 test in the same way as in Example 1. The results are shown in Table 10.

Table 8 (onion)

Chemicals	Concen-trations of the chemicals (%)	Concentrations (%)			After dipping treatment	
		Ethyl alcohol	Lactic acid	Phos-phoric acid	Standard plate count (cells/g)	Number of coliform organisms (cells/g)
Non-treated (immediately after attachment of bacteria)	—				5.3×10^6	1.7×10^4
Blanching (*)	—				2.5×10^2	0
Distilled water	—				2.25×10^6	8.4×10^4
Hydrogen peroxide	0.02				3.3×10^6	3.0×10^2
Mixture A	10 7 5 3 1	8.70 6.09 4.35 2.61 0.87	0.87 0.609 0.435 0.261 0.087	0.43 0.301 0.215 0.129 0.043	0 4.4×10^4 2.5×10^6 2.11×10^6 2.80×10^6	0 0 6.7×10^3 5.4×10^4 6.9×10^4
Mixture B	10 7 5 3 1	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.677	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 2.30×10^3 2.18×10^6	0 0 0 5.2×10^3 6.7×10^4
Mixture C	10 7 5 3 1	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 5.1×10^3 2.12×10^6	0 0 0 5.9×10^2 8.2×10^4
Ethyl alcohol	70				3.8×10^3	1.3×10^2

(*) Dipped in warm water at 80°C for 30 seconds.

Table 9 (cucumber)

Chemicals	Concen-trations of the chemicals (%)	Concentrations (%)			After dipping treatment	
		Ethyl alcohol	Lactic acid	Phos-phoric acid	Standard plate count (cells/g)	Number of coliform organisms (cells/g)
Non-treated (immediately after attachment of bacteria)					7.9×10^5	2.4×10^4
Blanching (*)					0	0
Distilled water	—				1.45×10^3	4.1×10^3
Hydrogen peroxide	0.02				3.9×10^4	9.2×10^3
Mixture A	10 7 5 3 1	8.70 6.09 4.35 2.61 0.87	0.87 0.609 0.435 0.261 0.087	0.43 0.301 0.215 0.129 0.043	0 0 5.3×10^3 8.9×10^4 1.29×10^5	0 0 4.6×10^2 3.9×10^3 4.0×10^3
Mixture B	10 7 5 3 1	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.831 0.617	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 6.9×10^3 7.2×10^4	0 0 0 2.9×10^2 3.8×10^3
Mixture C	10 7 5 3 1	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 7.3×10^4 1.32×10^5	0 0 0 3.7×10^2 3.7×10^3
Ethyl alcohol	70				8.8×10^3	9.0×10^2

(*) Dipped in warm water at 80°C for 30 seconds.

Table 10 (cucumber)

<i>Chemicals</i>	<i>Concen-</i> <i>tration</i> (%)	<i>Number of pane-</i> <i>lists who felt an</i> <i>unusual taste or an</i> <i>unusual odor out of 10</i>
Blanching		5
Distilled water	—	0
Hydrogen peroxide	0.05	0
Mixture A	40 30	4 0
Mixture B	40 30	6 0
Mixture C	40 30 20	9 1 0
Ethyl alcohol	70 60	10 9

Example 3

In this Example, the mixture B shown in Experimental Example 3 was used to kill bacteria adhering to vegetables.

5 Cucumber and cabbage cut into four were washed

with water, and dipped in each of the chemicals shown in Table 11, and the number of bacteria was examined by the conventional plate diluting method in the same way as in Example 1. The results are shown in Table 11.

Table 11

<i>Vegetable</i>	<i>Chemical</i>	<i>Concen-</i> <i>tration</i> <i>of the</i> <i>chemical</i> (%)	<i>Dipping</i> <i>time</i> (minutes)	<i>After dipping treatment</i>	
				<i>Standard</i> <i>plate</i> <i>count</i> (cells/g)	<i>Number of</i> <i>coliform</i> <i>organisms</i> (cells/g)
Cucumber (*)	Only washed with water			4.0×10^6	1.56×10^3
	Mixture B	2%	10	3.9×10^5	0
			20	7.2×10^4	0
		1%	30	5.4×10^4	0
Cabbage (*)	Sodium hypochlorite (as available Cl)	200 ppm	30	2.4×10^5	8.5×10^2
	Only washed with water			3.1×10^5	1.3×10^5
	Mixture B	1.0%	30	7.9×10^5	0
		0.7%	30	3.2×10^5	0
		0.5%	30	2.8×10^5	5.0×10^4

(*) The cucumber was sliced at the surface portion, and the cabbage was the one sampled at random from many cabbages. The number of bacteria per gram was examined using 10 g each of these samples.

Example 4

The bactericidal effects of each of the chemicals shown in Table 12 on bacteria adhering to the surface of chicken (broiler) were examined.

5 The test was carried out in the same way as in Example 1 using about 51 g of the flesh taken from near the wing of a chicken. The results are shown in Table 12, and demonstrate the marked effects of the bactericides of the invention.

10 When the above test was repeated except that the whole flesh of a chicken was used instead of the

flesh near the wing. Bacteria (general bacteria and coliform organisms) were not detected when the mixture A was used in an amount of 5%, the mixture

15 B, in an amount of 3%, and the mixture C, in an amount of 3%. At lower concentrations than those shown in Table 12, an effect of complete sterilization was obtained.

By the same tests as above, it was confirmed that
20 the bactericides of the invention are equally effective for beef, pork and fresh seafoods.

Table 12 (chicken)

Chemical	Concentration of the chemical (%)	Concentration of the ingredients (%)			After dipping treatment	
		Ethyl alcohol	Lactic acid	Phosphoric acid	Standard plate count (cells/10 × 10 cm²)	Number of coliform organisms (cells/10 × 10 cm²)
Non-treated (immediately after bacteria attachment)	—				6.3 × 10⁶	3.8 × 10⁴
Distilled water	—				4.2 × 10⁶	3.5 × 10⁴
Sodium hypochlorite	0.02				3.7 × 10⁶	3.9 × 10⁴
Mixture A	10 7 5 3 1	8.70 6.09 4.35 2.61 0.87	0.87 0.609 0.435 0.261 0.087	0.43 0.301 0.215 0.129 0.043	0 0 3.9 × 10⁵ 3.8 × 10⁶ 4.1 × 10⁶	0 0 8.7 × 10² 2.9 × 10⁴ 3.7 × 10⁴
Mixture B	10 7 5 3 1	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.016	0 0 0 5.1 × 10⁴ 3.9 × 10⁶	0 0 0 7.8 × 10² 2.9 × 10⁴
Mixture C	10 7 5 3 1	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 4.8 × 10⁴ 3.7 × 10⁶	0 0 0 6.7 × 10² 3.2 × 10⁴
Ethyl alcohol	70				9.8 × 10⁵	2.9 × 10⁴

Example 5

The bactericidal effects of the chemicals shown in Table 13 were tested on chicken eggs.

25 *Escherichia coli* was caused to adhere to about 67 g of eggs in the same way as in Example 1, and the eggs were then dipped for 30 seconds in an aqueous solution of each of the chemicals. Then, the surfaces of the eggs were wiped off, and the standard plate count and the number of coliform organisms were measured. The results are shown in Table 13.

It is seen from table 13 that the bactericides of the

invention show a marked effect as a bactericidal treating agent for eggs.

Table 13 (chicken eggs)

Chemical	Concen-tration of the chemical [%]	Concentration of the ingredients (%)			After dipping treatment	
		Ethyl alcohol	Lactic acid	Phos-phoric acid	Standard plate count (cells/10 × 10 cm ²)	Number of coliform organisms (cells/10 × 10 cm ²)
Non-treated (immediately after bacteria attachment)	—				5.5×10^4	7.5×10^2
Distilled water	—				2.8×10^4	5.8×10^2
Sodium hypochlorite	0.02				8.1×10^3	4.1×10^2
Mixture A	10 7 5 3 1	8.70 6.09 4.35 2.61 0.87	0.87 0.609 0.435 0.261 0.087	0.43 0.301 0.215 0.129 0.043	0 0 2.7×10^3 2.5×10^4 2.5×10^4	0 0 9.8×10 5.3×10^2 5.2×10^2
Mixture B	10 7 5 3 1	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 5.1×10^2 3.1×10^4	0 0 0 9.7×10 4.9×10^2
Mixture C	10 7 5 3 1	3.70 2.59 1.85 1.11 0.370	6.17 4.319 3.085 1.851 0.617	0.13 0.091 0.065 0.039 0.013	0 0 0 4.8×10^3 8.0×10^4	0 0 0 5.8×10 4.2×10^2
Ethyl alcohol	70				3.9×10^3	5.1×10

Example 6

In this Example, the bactericidal effects of each of the chemicals shown in Table 14 on bacteria adhering to the surface of ham were tested.

5 Salt (1.5%), 120 ppm of sodium nitrite, 550 ppm of sodium erythorbate and 0.3% of sodium tripolyphosphate were uniformly injected into about 2 kg of meat taken from the ham portion of a hog. The meat was then rubbed and kneaded, wrapped

10 with a cotton cloth and tied with a thread. It was then dried at 40°C for 3 hours and smoked at 57°C for 4 hours to produce a ham. The ham was stored at 0°C overnight, and used as a test sample.

A pre-cultivated suspension of *Escherichia coli* 15 and *Lactobacillus vulgaricus* was suspended in physiological saline to prepare a bacterial suspension.

The ham sample was cut into blocks each weighing about 200 g. The blocks were dipped for 5 seconds in the bacterial suspension to cause the bacteria to adhere to the surface of the blocks. The blocks were then dipped in each of the bactericidal solutions shown in Table 14 for a predetermined

25 period of time, and withdrawn. Then, the surface portion of each block was cut off aseptically, and homogenized. The number of bacteria was measured. The results are shown in Table 14.

Table 14

Treatment		Bacteria	Dipping time versus bacteria count		
			30 seconds	1 minute	5 minutes
Dipping in water only		coliform organisms	5.1×10^3	4.2×10^3	1.55×10^4
		lactic acid bacteria	4.3×10^4	2.6×10^4	5.4×10^4
		standard plate count	3.7×10^4	2.2×10^4	5.3×10^4
Mixture B	5%	coliform organisms	1.4×10^2	1.6×10^2	—
	lactic acid bacteria	4.3×10^3	6.8×10^3	3.0×10^3	
	standard plate count	2.9×10^3	4.7×10^3	3.5×10^3	
Sodium hypochlorite (as available Cl)	500 ppm	coliform organisms		3.8×10^3	7.5×10^2
		lactic acid bacteria		6.6×10^4	5.8×10^3
		standard plate count		4.2×10^4	6.4×10^3
Ethyl alcohol	60%	coliform organisms	3.9×10^2	1.6×10^2	1.2×10
	lactic acid bacteria	1.8×10^3	3.4×10^3	1.5×10^2	
	standard plate count	1.8×10^3	5.4×10^3	5.1×10^2	
	70%	coliform organisms	2.0×10^2	1.4×10^2	—
	lactic acid bacteria	7.8×10^2	6.1×10^2	1.1×10^2	
	standard plate count	2.2×10^3	1.9×10^3	5.5×10^2	

The bacterial count is the number of bacteria per g, (—) represents "negative".

Example 7

In the process of producing Vienna sausage, before packaging, the heat-treated sausage is usually (1) cooled and carried to a clean room, (2) cut by a drum cutter, (3) carried on a conveyor, (4) sent to an aligner, and (5) put into a bucket.

In this Example, the following two tests were conducted in order to examine the bactericidal effect of the bactericide (mixture B) of the invention.

10 In the first test showing a conventional process, the standard plate count, the number of lactic acid bacteria, and the presence or absence (positive or negative) of coliform organisms were determined with regard to the sausage (1) in the clean room, and
15 the sausages (6) which was passed through the drum cutter (2), conveyor (3), the aligner (4), and bucket (5).

In the second test showing the process of the invention, the same test as above was carried out with
20 regard to the sausage (1') treated with the bactericide and placed within the clean room, the drum cutter (2') treated with the bactericide, the conveyor (3') treated with the bactericide, the aligner (4') treated with the bactericide, and the bucket (5') treated with
25 the bactericide. The same measurement as above was carried out with regard to the sausage which passed through the sterilized bucket (5').

The results are shown in Table 15.
The data regarding the devices (2) to (5) and (2') to
30 (5') were obtained with regard to a sterilized gauze

which was used to swab a 30 cm × 30 cm zone of each of these devices.

Table 15

	<i>Sites inspected</i>	<i>Standard plate count</i>	<i>Lactic acid bacteria</i>	<i>Coloform organisms</i>
<i>Conventional process</i>	(1) Surface of Vienna sausage in the clean room (cells for each sausage)	2.5×10^2	1.8×10^2	+
	(2) That portion of the drum cutter which contacted with sausage	2.0×10^2	8.8×10^2	+
	(3) Surface of the conveyor	6.2×10^3	5.2×10^3	+
	(4) Aligner	7.2×10^2	5.3×10^3	+
	(5) Bucket	1.3×10^4	2.5×10^4	+
	(6) The surface of the sausage which passed through the bucket (5)	8.5×10^4	5.7×10^4	+
<i>Process of the invention</i>	(1') Vienna sausage (sprayed with a 10% solution of the bactericide) in the clean room	-	-	-
	(2') The product-contacting portion of the drum cutter sprayed with a 10% solution of the bactericide	-	-	-
	(3') Surface of the conveyor sprayed with a 10% solution of the bactericide	-	-	-
	(4') Aligner sprayed with a 10% solution of the bactericide	-	-	-
	(5') Bucket sprayed with a 10% solution of the bactericide	-	-	-
	(6') The surface of the sausage with passed through the bucket (5')	-	-	-

-: negative, +: positive

CLAIMS

1. A food or food processing machine or utensil which has been sterilised by an aqueous bactericide comprising (1) ethyl alcohol and (2) at one least organic or inorganic acid or salt thereof.
2. A food or food processing machine or utensil according to claim 1 which has been sterilised by contact with said bactericide for one minute or less.
3. A food or food processing machine or utensil according to claim 2 wherein contact has been for 30 seconds or less.
4. A food or food processing machine or utensil according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the unsterilised food or food processing machine or utensil has been dipped in, sprayed with or wiped with the bactericide.
5. A food or food processing machine or utensil according to claim 1 substantially as hereinbefore described in any one of Examples 1 to 7.
6. A liquid bactericide for foods and food processing machines or utensils, said bactericide comprising as active ingredients ethyl alcohol, and organic acid or its salt and/or an inorganic acid or its salt.
7. The bactericide of claim 6 which comprises as active ingredients ethyl alcohol, the organic acid or its salt, and the inorganic acid or its salt.
8. The bactericide of claim 6 which comprises ethyl alcohol and the organic acid or its salt as active ingredients.
9. The bactericide of claims 6 which comprises ethyl alcohol, and the inorganic acid or its salt as active ingredients.

10. The bactericide of any one of claims 6 to 8 wherein the organic acid is selected from the group consisting of lactic acid, acetic acid, tartaric acid, gluconic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, 5 succinic acid, fumaric acid and phytic acid, and the organic acid salt is selected from sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium salts of these organic acids.

11. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 7 and 10 9 wherein the inorganic acid is selected from the group consisting of phosphoric acid, condensed phosphoric acid (acidic pyrophosphoric acid, hexametaphosphoric acid, ultraphosphoric acid, etc), hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid and nitric acid, and 15 the inorganic acid salt is selected from the group consisting of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium salts of these inorganic acids.

12. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 7, 10 and 11 which comprises 99.9 to 2.0% (W/V) of ethyl 20 alcohol, and 0.1 to 98.0% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt and/or the inorganic acid or its salt.

13. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 which comprises 98.0 to 2.3% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol, 1.0 to 96.7% (W/V) of the organic acid 25 or its salt, and 1.0 to 96.0% (W/V) of the inorganic acid or its salt.

14. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 13 wherein the organic acid is lactic acid and the inorganic acid is phosphoric acid.

30 15. The bactericide of claims 6, 8, or 10 which comprises 99.4 to 20% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.6 to 80% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt.

16. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 8, 10 and 15 wherein the organic acid is lactic acid, acetic 35 acid or phytic acid.

17. The bactericide of claims 6, 9 or 11 which comprises 99.9 to 20% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.1 to 80% (W/V) of the inorganic acid or its salt.

18. The bactericide of any one of claims 6, 9, 11 40 and 17 wherein the inorganic acid is phosphoric acid, ultraphosphoric acid nor nitric acid.

19. A method for sterilizing a food or a food processing machine or utensil, which comprises dissolving a liquid bactericide comprising ethyl alcohol, an 45 organic acid or its salt and/or an inorganic acid or its salt as active ingredients in water, and contacting the resulting aqueous solution with the food or the food processing machine or utensil.

20. The method of claim 19 wherein the bacteri- 50 cides comprises ethyl alcohol, the organic acid or its salt and the inorganic acid or its salt as active ingredients.

21. The method of claim 19 wherein the liquid bactericide comprises ethyl alcohol and the organic 55 acid or its salt as active ingredients.

22. The method of claim 19 wherein the liquid bactericide comprises ethyl alcohol and the inorganic acid or its salt as active ingredients.

23. The method of any one of claims 19 to 21 60 wherein the organic acid is selected from the group consisting of lactic acid, acetic acid, tartaric acid, gluconic acid, citric acid, ascorbic acid, malic acid, succinic acid, fumaric acid and phytic acid, and its salt is selected from the group consisting of sodium, 65 potassium, calcium and magnesium salts of these

organic acids.

24. The method of any one of claims 19, 20 and 22 wherein the inorganic acid is selected from the group consisting of phosphoric acid, acidic 70 pyrophosphoric acid, hexametaphosphoric acid, ultraphosphoric acid, hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid and nitric acid, and its salt is selected from the group consisting of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium salts of these inorganic acids.

25. The method of any one of claims 19, 20, 23 and 24 wherein the aqueous solution of the bactericide contains 0.5 to 35% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.005 to 20% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt and/or the inorganic acid or its salt.

80 26. The method of any one of claims 19, 20, 23, 24 and 25 wherein the aqueous solution of the bactericide contains 1 to 18.6% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol, 0.3 to 31% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt, and 0.03 to 10% (W/V) of the inorganic acid or its salt.

85 27. The method of any one of claims 19, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 26 wherein the organic acid is lactic acid, and the inorganic acid is phosphoric acid.

28. The method of any one of claims 19, 21, 23 and 25 wherein the aqueous solution of the bactericide contains 5 to 35% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.5 to 20% (W/V) of the organic acid or its salt.

90 29. The method of any one of claims 19, 22, 24 and 25 wherein the aqueous solution of the bactericide contains 5 to 35% (W/V) of ethyl alcohol and 0.005 to 20% (W/V) of the inorganic acid or its salt.

95 30. The method of any one of claims 19 to 29 wherein the aqueous solution of the bactericide has a pH of not more than 4.0.

Printed for Her Majesty's Stationery Office by The Tweeddale Press Ltd.
Berwick-upon-Tweed, 1982.
Published at the Patent Office, 25 Southampton Buildings, London, WC2A 1AY,
from which copies may be obtained.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.